

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF MARYLAND

CHAMBERS OF  
J. FREDERICK MOTZ  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

101 WEST LOMBARD STREET  
BALTIMORE, MARYLAND 21201  
(410) 962-0782  
(410) 962-2698 FAX

November 3, 2005

Memo To Counsel Re: MDL-15863, One Group Subtrack;  
*Robinson v. One Group International Equity Index Fund, et al.*  
Civil No. JFM-04-0629

Dear Counsel:

The purpose of this memorandum is to rule upon the motions to dismiss the **consolidated amended class action** complaint in the *One Group* subtrack.

Motion of the One Group defendants other than the Independent Trustees. Granted in part and denied in part for the same reasons, and in the same respects, stated in the investor class opinion and order I issued in the *Janus* subtrack in connection with the claims against the *Janus* defendants. The ruling regarding the section 36(b) claim is subject to later determination as to whether the claim may be brought in the class action or only in the derivative fund action.<sup>1</sup>

Motion of the Independent Trustees. Granted as to all claims that are being dismissed against the other One Group defendants; ruling deferred as to claims that are not being dismissed as to the other One Group defendants. I will rule upon the particularized defenses these defendants assert at a later date when my schedule permits.

Motion of the Canary Defendants. Ruling deferred because action is stayed as to these defendants.

Motions of Bank of America and Bear, Stearns Defendants. Granted in part and denied in part for the same reasons, and in the same respects, stated in the investor class opinion and order I issued in the *Janus* subtrack in connection with the claims against the Bank of America and Bear, Stearns defendants.

Motion of STC and Grant D. Seeger. Granted in part and denied in part for the same reasons, and in the same respects, stated in the investor class opinion and order I issued in the *Janus* subtrack in connection with the claims against the Bank of America and Bear, Stearns defendants. Plaintiffs have made sufficient allegations from which it could be inferred that STC

---

<sup>1</sup>Some of the Fund defendants may be trusts or other entities whose sole purpose is to hold assets for shareholders of a fund. If so, all of the claims against those defendants should be dismissed, as indicated in the letter regarding “general instructions” I am sending out today.

Motions of the Market Timing Defendants and Clearing Defendants other than the Canary Defendants, the Bank of America and Bear, Stearns Defendants, STC, and Grant D. Seeger. Granted, without leave to amend, for the same reasons stated in the investor class opinion and order I issued in the *Janus* subtrack in connection with the claims against the broker dealer defendants other than Bear, Stearns and Bank of America.

Despite the informal nature of this letter, it should be flagged as an opinion and docketed as an order.

Very truly yours,

/s/

J. Frederick Motz  
United States District Judge